VOLUME 19 NUMBER 5 MARCH 2010

# MOLECULAR ECOLOGY



Published by Wiley-Blackwell

# MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

#### **Editors**

Chief Editor

Loren Rieseberg University of British Columbia, Canada

Founding Editor Harry Smith University of Nottingham, UK

Managing Editor Tim Vines University of British Columbia, Canada managing.editor@molecol.com

# Editorial Coordinator

Elyse Mitchell **Reviews Editor** 

Louis Bernatchez, Laval University, Canada

From the Cover Editor Robert R. Wayne, University of Califonia, USA

#### **Editorial Review Board**

Michael Arnold, USA John Avise, USA Debra Ayres, USA Trevor Beebee, UK Paul Bentzen, Canada Brian W. Bowen, USA Teresa Burg, Canada Bryan Carstens, USA



#### News and Views Editors Nolan Kane, University of British

Columbia, Canada Arianne Albert, Vancouver, BC, Canada Senior Editors Godfrey Hewitt, University of East Anglia, UK

Pierre Taberlet, University of Joseph Fourier, France

#### Associate Editors

Richard Abbott, University of St Andrews, UK Bill Amos, Cambridge University, UK François Balloux, Imperial College London, UK Staffan Bensch, Lund University, Sweden John Benzie, University College Cork, Ireland Aurélie Bonin, Indiana University, USA David Coltman, University of Alberta, Canada Angus Davison, University of Nottingham, UK

Hans Ellegren, Uppsala University, Sweden Brent Emerson, University of East Anglia, UK Daniel Falush, University College Cork, Ireland

Gary Carvalho, UK Robert Chapman, USA Rosane Collevatti, Brazil John Carlos Garza, USA Eli Geffen, Israel Sophie Gerber, France Jim Hamrick, USA Phil Hedrick, USA

Dany Garant, University of Sherbrooke, Canada

Rosemary Gillespie, University of California Berkeley, USA Tatiana Giraud, Université de Paris-Sud,

France Michael M. Hansen, Aarhus University,

Denmark Christian Lexer, University of Fribourg,

Switzerland Rémy Petit, Institut National de la

Recherche Agronomique, Cestas, France Stephen Palumbi, Stanford University, USA Michael Purugganan, New York

University, USA Dirk Redecker, Université de Bourgogne, France Sean Rogers, University of Calgary, Canada François Rousset, Université des Sciences et

Techniques du Languedoc, France Christian Schlötterer, Veterinärmedizinische Universität Wien, Austria

Michael Hellberg, USA Tom Mitchell-Olds, USA Hilde Nybom, Sweden Jim Provan, UK David Queller, USA Ciro Rico, UK

Outi Savolainen, Finland Jack Sites, USA

Jon Slate, University of Sheffield, UK Victoria Sork, University of California,

Los Angeles, USA Diethard Tautz, Universität zu Köln, Germany

Eric B. Taylor, University of British Columbia, Canada

Roger Thorpe, Bangor University, UK Madeleine van Oppen, Australian Institute of Marine Science, Australia

Lisette Waits, University of Idaho, USA

Alex Widmer, Institute of Integrative Biology (IBZ), ETH Zurich, Switzerland

**Production Editor** 

Gregor Hutton Wiley-Blackwell, Edinburgh mec@oxon.blackwellpublishing.com

Peter Smouse, USA William Symondson, UK Robert C. Vrijenhoek, USA Bob Ward, Australia Robert Zink, USA

#### Cover Illustration

The Idaho giant salamander (Dicamptodon aterrimus) inhabits streams in Idaho and western Montana and has an interesting life history strategy. Juvenile salamanders develop in streams for several years prior to maturity. While some individuals metamorphose, becoming terrestrial adults, others remain in the stream as aquatic adults. This photo was taken of an aquatic individual from one of the few known populations in western Montana. Genetic analyses by Mullen et al. (see pages 898–909) show the strong influence of stream networks on population structure and gene flow in D. aterrimus, with contrasting effects at different hierarchical scales. (Photo by David Herasimtschuk.)

*Molecular Ecology* publishes papers that utilise molecular genetic techniques to address conse-quential questions in ecology, evolution, behaviour and conservation. We discourage papers that are primarily descriptive and relevant only to the taxon being studied. Studies may employ that are primarily descriptive and relevant only to the taxon being studied. Studies may employ neutral markers for inference about ecological and evolutionary processes or examine ecologi-cally important genes and their products directly. *Molecular Ecology* concentrates on primary research articles (i.e. Original Articles) but operates a flexible policy regarding other submis-sions, including Reviews, Opinion Articles and Comments. We also publish methodological and genetic resource papers in our companion publication, *Molecular Ecology Resources*. We aim for primary editorial decision times of 2–3 months and publication times after receipt of final accepted manuscripts similarly of 2–3 months.

Information for subscribers. *Molecular Ecology* is published in 24 issues per year. Institutional subscription prices for 2010 are: Print & Online: US\$9412 (The Americas), US\$10980 (Rest of World), €6470 (Europe), £5094 (UK). Prices are exclusive of tax. Asia-Pacific GST, Canadian GST and European VAT will be applied at the appropriate rates. For more information on current tax rates, please go to www3.interscience.wiley.com/aboutus/journal\_ordering\_and\_payment. html#Tax. The price includes online access to the current and all online back files to January 1st 1997, where available. For other pricing options, including access information and terms and conditions, please visit www.interscience.wiley.com/journal-info

Delivery Terms and Legal Title. Prices include delivery of print journals to the recipient's address. Delivery terms are Delivered Duty Unpaid (DDU), the recipient is responsible for paying any import duty or taxes. Legal title passes to the customer on despatch by our distributors.

Back Issues. Single issues from current and recent volumes are available at the current single issue price from cs-journals@wiley.com. Earlier issues may be obtained from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526, USA. Tel: +1 518 537 4700, Fax: +1 518 537 5899, Email: psc@periodicals.com

Access to this journal is available free online within institutions in the developing world through the AGORA initiative with the FAO, and the OARE initiative with UNEP. For information, visit www.aginternetwork.org and www.oarescience.org

Journal Customer Services. For ordering information, claims and any enquiry concerning your journal subscription please contact your nearest office: Americas: Email: cs-journals@wiley.com; Tel: +1 781 388 8598 or +1 800 835 6770 (toll free in the

USA & Canada). Europe, Middle East and Africa: Email: cs-journals@wiley.com; Tel: +44 (0) 1865 778315. Asia Pacific: Email: cs-journals@wiley.com; Tel: +65 6511 8000. Japan: For Japanese speaking support, Email: cs-japan@wiley.com; Tel: +65 6511 8010 or Tel (toll-free): 005 316 50 480. Visit our Online Customer Self-Help available in 6 languages at www. interscience.wiley.com/support

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY, (ISSN 0962-1083), publishes 24 issues per year. US mailing agent: Mercury Airfreight International Inc., 365 Blair Road, Avenel, NJ 07001, USA. Periodical postage paid at Rahway, NJ.

POSTMASTER: Send all address changes to MOLECULAR ECOLOGY, Journal Customer Services, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 350 Main St., Malden, MA 02148-5020.

**Copyright and Photocopying.** © 2010 Blackwell Publishing Ltd. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior permission in writing from the copyright holder. Authorization to photocopy items for internal and personal use is granted by the copyright holder for libraries and other users registered with their local Reproduction Rights Organisation (RRO), e.g. Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA (www.copyright.com), provided the appropriate fee is paid directly to the RRO. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works or for resale. Special requests should be addressed to: permissionsuk@wilev.com should be addressed to: permissionsuk@wiley.com

Publisher. Molecular Ecology is published by Blackwell Publishing, 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, Tel: +44 (0) 1865 776868; Fax: +44 (0) 1865 714591. Blackwell Publishing was acquired by John Wiley & Sons in February 2007. Blackwell's programme has been merged with Wiley's global Scientific, Technical, and Medical business to form Wiley-Blackwell.

Disclaimer. The Publisher and Editors cannot be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of information contained in this journal; the views and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the Publisher and Editors, neither does the publication of advertisements constitute any endorsement by the Publisher and Editors of the products advertised.

Abstracting and Indexing Services. The Journal is indexed by AGRICOLA; Biological Abstracts; BIOSIS Previews; CAB Abstracts – for Animal Breeding Abstracts, Plant Breeding Abstracts, AgBiotech News and Information; Cambridge Scientific Abstracts - for Ecology Abstracts, Genetics Abstracts, Animal Behaviour Abstracts, Entomology Abstracts, Aquatic Sciences and Fisheries Abstracts and Microbiology Databases; Chemical Abstracts; EMBASE (Excepta Medica); Elsevier BIOBASE – Current Awareness in Biological Sciences; Index Medicus; ISI – for Biotechnology Citation Index, Biosciences Citation Index, Current Contents/Agriculture, Biology and Environmental Sciences, Current Contents/Life Sciences and ISI Basic Sciences Index; Scopus; and Zoological Record.

Online Open. Molecular Ecology accepts articles for Open Access publication. Please see www.blackwellpublishing.com/mec and select author guidelines for further information about OnlineOpen.

Molecular Ecology is NIH compliant: for further information please read the online Author Guidelines.

Wiley's Corporate Citizenship initiative seeks to address the environmental, social, economic, and ethical challenges faced in our business and which are important to our diverse stakehold-er groups. We have made a long-term commitment to standardize and improve our efforts around the world to reduce our carbon footprint. Follow our progress at www.wiley. com/go/citizenship

Typeset at Scientific Publishing Services, Chennai, India Printed in Singapore by Markono Print Media Pte Ltd ISSN 0962-1083 (print), ISSN 1365-294X (online)

Information on this journal can be accessed at http://www.blackpublishing.com/mec This journal is available online at Wiley InterScience. Visit www.interscience.wiley.com

PDF compression, OCR, web optimization using a watermarked evaluation copy of CVISION PDFCompressor

Molecular Ecology (2010) 19, 898-909

# Scale-dependent genetic structure of the Idaho giant salamander (*Dicamptodon aterrimus*) in stream networks

LINDY B. MULLEN,\* H. ARTHUR WOODS,\* MICHAEL K. SCHWARTZ,† ADAM J. SEPULVEDA\* and WINSOR H. LOWE\*

\*Division of Biological Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812, USA, †USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Missoula, MT 59801, USA

#### Abstract

The network architecture of streams and rivers constrains evolutionary, demographic and ecological processes of freshwater organisms. This consistent architecture also makes stream networks useful for testing general models of population genetic structure and the scaling of gene flow. We examined genetic structure and gene flow in the facultatively paedomorphic Idaho giant salamander, Dicamptodon aterrimus, in stream networks of Idaho and Montana, USA. We used microsatellite data to test population structure models by (i) examining hierarchical partitioning of genetic variation in stream networks; and (ii) testing for genetic isolation by distance along stream corridors vs. overland pathways. Replicated sampling of streams within catchments within three river basins revealed that hierarchical scale had strong effects on genetic structure and gene flow. AMOVA identified significant structure at all hierarchical scales (among streams, among catchments, among basins), but divergence among catchments had the greatest structural influence. Isolation by distance was detected within catchments, and in-stream distance was a strong predictor of genetic divergence. Patterns of genetic divergence suggest that differentiation among streams within catchments was driven by limited migration, consistent with a stream hierarchy model of population structure. However, there was no evidence of migration among catchments within basins, or among basins, indicating that gene flow only counters the effects of genetic drift at smaller scales (within rather than among catchments). These results show the strong influence of stream networks on population structure and genetic divergence of a salamander, with contrasting effects at different hierarchical scales.

*Keywords*: death valley model, *Dicamptodon aterrimus*, genetic structure, scale dependence, stream hierarchy model

Received 3 September 2009; revision received 28 December 2009; accepted 2 January 2010

#### Introduction

Many species occur in spatially structured sub-populations linked by dispersal and gene flow, and these spatial processes can strongly influence evolutionary, demographic and ecological dynamics at multiple scales (Wright 1951; MacArthur & Wilson 1967; Hanski & Gilpin 1997). While many studies have measured how landscape barriers affect dispersal and gene flow

Correspondence: Winsor H. Lowe, Fax: +1 406 243 4184; E-mail: winsor.lowe@umontana.edu (Manel *et al.* 2003), results of these studies have often been species and scale-specific (e.g. Keyghobadi *et al.* 1999; Funk *et al.* 2005; Wang *et al.* 2009). General insight on what controls gene flow and genetic differentiation may best be gained in systems that impose consistent structure at multiple spatial scales, where it is possible to assess patterns of genetic structure within scales and how those patterns change across scales (Levin 1992; Schneider 2001; Halley *et al.* 2004).

Streams and rivers occur in hierarchical networks where smaller stream channels join to form larger ones in a dendritic pattern that resembles branches on a tree.

Rivers and streams are also fractal-like, with the same dendritic branching pattern occurring across scales (Horton 1945). This consistent network architecture can constrain evolutionary, demographic and ecological processes in aquatic organisms (e.g. Finn *et al.* 2006; Muneepeerakul *et al.* 2008; Grant *et al.* 2009). It also makes these dendritic networks useful for testing general models of landscape-scale population structure, and for understanding the scaling of dispersal and gene flow (Lowe *et al.* 2006; Grant *et al.* 2007).

Patterns of population genetic structure in stream and river networks have been described by four general models (Fig. 1; Meffe & Vrijenhoek 1988; Finn et al. 2007; Hughes et al. 2009). The null model (Fig. 1a) characterizes organisms with high gene flow among all localities by both stream and overland pathways of dispersal. Meffe & Vrijenhoek's (1988) death valley model (DVM; Fig. 1b) characterizes strictly aquatic organisms that are isolated in headwater reaches by ecological barriers (abiotic and/or biotic). The DVM predicts that all populations show strong genetic differentiation, but with no relationship to drainage patterns (e.g. Preziosi & Fairbairn 1992). More mobile and ecologically tolerant aquatic organisms may be characterized by Meffe & Vrijenhoek's (1988) stream hierarchy model (Fig. 1c), which predicts genetic variation to be partitioned by drainages (e.g. Wishart & Hughes 2003). Lastly, the headwater model (Fig. 1d) characterizes organisms that are ecologically isolated to headwater reaches and disperse only by overland pathways. This model predicts genetic variation to be partitioned in headwater islands, irrespective of drainage patterns (e.g. Finn et al. 2007).

While useful for characterizing scale-specific genetic structure, these models do not address how patterns of gene flow and divergence change with hierarchical scale. If dispersal patterns of freshwater organisms are



Fig. 1 Diagrams of conceptual models for patterns of movement and genetic structure in stream organisms: (a) the Null Model, (b) the Death Valley Model, (c) the Stream Hierarchy Model, and (d) the Headwater Model. Grey areas show pathways of dispersal in each model. Open, closed and patterned circles indicate the genetic similarity of localities (Finn *et al.* 2007; Meffe & Vrijenhoek 1988).

influenced by the hierarchical, fractal structure of stream networks, some freshwater organisms may have a scale-dependent genetic structure. Scale dependence could result from differential ability of the organisms to disperse at different hierarchical scales. For example, rates of gene flow at one hierarchical scale (i.e. among streams) may differ from those at another hierarchical scale (i.e. among catchments or among basins; Fig. 2). To understand how patterns of gene flow and population structure change across hierarchical scales, sampling must allow for analysis at multiple scales (Fausch *et al.* 2002; Lowe *et al.* 2006). A lack of systematic hierarchical sampling has prevented previous studies from addressing both the effect of network architecture on population structure and the scaling of this effect.

By applying a consistent sampling design that encompassed three hierarchical scales (streams, catchments, basins; Fig. 2), we explored the effects of both network architecture and spatial scale on population genetic structure of the Idaho Giant salamander, Dicamptodon aterrimus. D. aterrimus is facultatively paedomorphic, and has the potential to disperse by stream and overland pathways. We examined genetic variation of microsatellite loci to investigate the genetic structure of D. aterrimus populations in river networks of Idaho and Montana, USA. Using microsatellite data, we tested Meffe & Vrijenhoek's (1988) and Finn et al.'s (2007) models of population structure by (i) examining hierarchical partitioning of genetic variation at multiple spatial scales in stream networks; and (ii) testing for isolation by distance to assess the relative influence of within-stream and overland gene flow on population genetic structure.

# Materials and methods

#### Study species and sites

The Idaho giant salamander, *Dicamptodon aterrimus*, occurs in mesic forests of northern Idaho and western



**Fig. 2** Sampling design showing hierarchical scales of sampling. Three streams were sampled within each of two adjacent catchments. Survey reaches of streams are indicated by rectangles.

Montana, USA. This species was isolated from other Dicamptodon between 2 and 5 Ma due to the xerification of the Columbia river basin following the orogeny of the Cascade Mountains (Carstens et al. 2005a). Mitochondrial DNA analysis supports a single refugial population in the south fork of the Salmon River of Idaho during the last glacial maximum (Carstens et al. 2005b), with range expansion and colonization of habitats most likely occurring northward as glaciers receded. The current distribution extends from the south fork of the Salmon River in Idaho to the northernmost peripheral populations in the St. Regis drainage of Montana. While its current distribution is patchy (Carstens et al. 2005b), we know occurrence of D. atterrimus is influenced by landscape-scale factors, including roads, stream isolation and old growth forest density (Sepulveda & Lowe 2009).

Dicamptodon aterrimus is facultatively paedomorphic: larvae develop in streams and reach maturation after several years as either terrestrial or aquatic forms (Nussbaum et al. 1983). Our observations in the field suggest that D. aterrimus are present in headwater and higher-order reaches. While no data on overland dispersal exists for D. aterrimus, Richardson & Neill (1998) showed that its facultatively paedomorphic sister species, D. tenebrosus, can move several hundred meters overland in a few days. Direct measures of in-stream dispersal by D. aterrimus show that short-distance movements (5-50 m) are common, but movements >100 m are rare. However, we lack information on the frequency and scale of dispersal beyond individual streams, and on the relative importance of movements along stream corridors vs. overland pathways. Testing for support of models of genetic structure may provide insight into both the importance of overland vs. instream gene flow, and how stream network architecture influences population structure.

# Sampling design

To examine the spatial extent of gene flow and population structure in *D. aterrimus*, we applied a consistent sampling design that encompassed three hierarchical scales: streams, catchments and basins. We sampled individuals in first-order streams which were nested within catchments of confluent streams draining into a mainstream river (Fig. 2). Catchments were nested within basins of three major rivers: the Lochsa (four catchments), the St. Joe (two catchments) and the St. Regis (two catchments). We collected 15 *D. aterrimus* adults (both aquatic and terrestrial) and juveniles from three first-order streams within each catchment (Table S1, Fig. 3). Catchments were selected in basins so that they were separated by a common ridge running approximately perpendicular to the mainstream river. This orientation allowed us to test for instream and overland gene flow within and among adjacent catchments.

In each stream, we used an LR-20 backpack electrofisher (Smith-Root Inc.) to collect salamanders from stream reaches beginning at least 25 m upstream of the confluence with a higher-order stream. Survey reaches ranged from 125 to 391 m in length (mean survey length  $\pm$  1 SD: 220 m  $\pm$  72.7). Longer survey reaches were required to capture the minimum number of individuals used for analyses. In two streams we sampled three 30 m reaches separated by approximately 15 m (LWWF and LPEF; Table S1).

A small section of tail tissue was clipped from captured salamanders and stored it in 95% ethanol. Both juvenile and adult salamanders were sampled. Snoutvent lengths of sampled animals ranged from 22 to 160 mm and weights ranged from <1 to 130 g. All sampling took place in July–October of 2008, except for five samples from one stream that were collected in July of 2007 (LSSP; Table S1).

# Microsatellite amplification and scoring

Fifteen salamanders from each stream were genotyped at 14 microsatellite loci developed for Dicamptodon tenebrosus and D. copei (Table S2; Curtis & Taylor 2000; Steele et al. 2008). To extract DNA, we digested tissues with protease in a detergent based cell lysis buffer, then precipitated proteins with an ammonium acetate solution and DNA with isopropyl alcohol. Isolated DNA was re-suspended in 100 µL TE buffer and diluted 1:10 for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification in a PTC-100 thermocycler (MJ Research Inc.) with a total volume of 10 µL. Multiplex reactions were set up with QIAGEN multimix, following the QIAGEN microsatellite protocol (QIAGEN Inc.). We used a single PCR touchdown profile for multiplexed markers, primer annealing started at 67 °C and dropped 0.5 °C for 20 cycles, followed by 25 cycles with a 57 °C annealing temperature. Microsatellite markers Dte5, D04, D24 and D18 were PCR amplified individually following QIA-GEN microsatellite protocols with separate PCR annealing temperatures (Table S2). Following individual PCRs, these markers were pooled with multiplexed markers for fragment analysis. PCR products were visualized on an ABI3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems Inc.) in the Murdock DNA Sequencing Facility at the University of Montana, Missoula, MT, USA. Allele sizes were determined using the ABI GS600LIZ ladder (Applied Biosystems Inc.) and alleles were called with GENEMAPPER version 3.7 and verified manually (Applied Biosystems Inc.).



Fig. 3 Map of sampling streams in the St. Regis, St. Joe and Lochsa river basins of Idaho and Montana in northwestern USA. Centre points of stream survey reaches are marked with stars, and four letter stream codes are indicated. Four catchments were sampled from the Lochsa river basin: Squaw Ck. (streams: LSDO, LSSP, LSU1), Badger Ck. (streams: LBU1, LBU2, LBU3), Wendover Ck. (streams: LWEF, LWWF, LWU1) and Papoose Ck. (streams: LPTW, LPTE, LPEF). Two catchments were sampled from the St. Regis river basin: Big Ck. (streams: RBMC, RBU1, RBU2), and Deer Ck. (streams: RDTU, RDUU, RDU1). Two catchments were sampled from the St. Joe river basin: Quartz Ck. (streams: JQU1, JQUE, JQU3) and Gold Ck. (streams: JGPR, JGU1, JGU2).

#### Analyses

We tested for significant departures from Hardy-Weinberg (HW) proportions and for non-random association of pairs of loci across populations (gametic disequilibrium) using exact tests implemented in GENEPOP version 4.0 (Raymond & Rousset 1995). Loci that deviated from HW proportions in each population were removed from further analyses. Genetic diversity within streams was calculated as allelic richness (A<sub>S</sub>), the number of alleles observed in populations (N<sub>A</sub>), and expected and observed heterozygosity (H<sub>E</sub> and H<sub>O</sub>). We then calculated genetic differentiation among streams with pairwise  $F_{\rm ST}$  using ARLEQUIN version 3.1 (Excoffier *et al.* 2005). The inbreeding coefficient,  $F_{\rm IS}$ , was calculated for each locus in streams to detect significant heterozygote deficit or excess in streams (GENEPOP; Raymond & Rousset 1995).

We examined pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values to assess levels of divergence occurring among streams. To partition genetic variance within and among hierarchical scales, we used a hierarchical analysis of genetic variation (AM-OVA implemented in the HIERFSTAT package in R v 2.8.1;

Goudet 1995). Specifically, we tested for structure at four levels: among basins, among catchments within basins, among streams within catchments, and within streams. To test for influence of local genetic structure on overall patterns, we performed two additional AMOVAS: (i) within the Lochsa river basin; and (ii) within and between the adjacent St. Joe and St. Regis river basins. These two additional AMOVAS were chosen because of the proximity of the basins; we had no samples from a basin adjacent to the Lochsa river basin, but the St. Regis and St. Joe river basins are adjacent and share boundaries. The AMOVAS generated hierarchical *F*-statistics (Yang 1998) in which  $F_{BT}$  was divergence among basins,  $F_{CB}$  was divergence among catchments within basins, F<sub>SC</sub> was divergence among streams within catchments,  $F_{IS}$  was the inbreeding coefficient of streams and  $F_{ST}$  was the global divergence among streams. To understand how levels of genetic divergence were influenced by effective population sizes (Ne), we used the linkage disequilibrium method (Bartley et al. 1992) to estimate Ne of each stream we sampled with Ne Estimator (Peel et al. 2004).

Genetic structure was also visually interpreted using principal components analysis (PCA) which reduces dimensions in a multivariate dataset such that the first principal component (PC1) explains as much of the variance in allele frequencies as possible (Reich *et al.* 2008). To maintain quasi-independence of the data set, we removed the highest frequency allele of each locus and performed the PCA on remaining allele frequencies (Leary *et al.* 1993). Plots of PC1 against PC2 and of PC1 against PC3 were examined to assess the similarity of allele frequencies among streams within catchments, among catchments within basins and among basins.

We used partial Bayesian individual assignment tests (Rannala & Mountain 1997) to classify individuals to populations based on the expected frequency of an individual's multilocus genotype in each population (basins, catchments, and streams; GENECLASS2; Piry *et al.* 2004). Those individuals most likely to originate from a population other than their sampling origin were examined with a partial Bayesian exclusion test for a measure of confidence associated with assignment (Paetkau *et al.* 2004). Individuals with lower than 95% probability of originating in the sampled population were also tested with exclusion methods.

Leaving the individual to be assigned out, distributions of genotypic likelihoods that would occur in sampled populations were approximated with 10 000 Monte Carlo simulations. The likelihoods calculated for genotypes of sampled individuals were then compared to the distribution of genotype likelihoods, and if the genotype likelihood was below the  $\alpha = 0.01$  threshold, the population was excluded as an origin (Cornuet et al. 1999; Paetkau et al. 2004; Piry et al. 2004). Assignments of individuals to populations other than their collection location were interpreted as migration events when genotypes were unlikely to occur from a random combination of alleles ( $P \ge 0.95$ ). Identification of migrants using this method has been possible especially when genetic differentiation is substantial and many loci are used (Berry et al. 2004; Paetkau et al. 2004). We performed three assignment tests with the above standards: (i) assignment of individuals to basins with basins as reference populations; (ii) assignment of individuals to catchments with catchments as reference populations; and (iii) assignment of individuals to streams with streams as reference populations.

To understand the role of gene flow by in-stream vs. overland pathways, we tested alternative hypotheses of *D. aterrimus* gene flow resulting in isolation by distance. Isolation by distance is detected by testing for correlations among matrices of genetic distance ( $F_{ST}$ ) and geographic distance with Mantel tests that correct for non-independence of pairwise points (Mantel 1967). We used two measures of pairwise distance between

midpoints of survey reaches to test alternate pathways of gene flow with FSTAT version 2.9.3.2 (Goudet 1995).

To test the hypothesis that D. aterrimus gene flow occurs primarily along stream corridors [isolation by stream distance (IBSD)], we estimated the correlation between  $F_{ST}$  and stream distance in each basin. Stream distance was the shortest pathway along streams connecting two points (ARCMAP 9.2, ESRI). Second, we tested the hypothesis that gene flow in D. aterrimus occurs primarily overland [isolation by Euclidean distance (IBED)] by estimating the correlation between  $F_{ST}$  and surface distance in each basin. Surface distance was the Euclidean distance connecting two points that corrects for changes in elevation along the path (ARCMAP 9.2). Significance of correlations in all Mantel tests were assessed with 10 000 matrix randomizations. Basins were tested separately for IBSD and IBED to detect regional differences in the scale and strength of isolation by distance due to in-stream vs. overland gene flow. Pairwise stream and surface distances were significantly correlated (r = 0.88, P < 0.001). Therefore, the strengths of correlations of genetic distance with stream distance vs. surface distance were used to assess the relative importance of in-stream vs. overland gene flow. Plots of pairwise  $F_{ST}$  and stream distance were analyzed to detect shifts in the relationship due to hierarchical scale.

#### Results

We genotyped 361 individuals from 24 streams at 14 microsatellite loci (Table S2). Four microsatellite loci were monomorphic (Dte4, Dte5, Dte8 and Dte14) and were therefore discarded. Another locus, Dtell, deviated significantly from HW proportions in three of the six streams exhibiting polymorphism before correction for multiple significance tests. Moreover, the inbreeding coefficient for Dtell indicated a deficit of heterozygotes and suggested the presence of a null allele. Because Dtell was not highly polymorphic and did not conform to HW expectations, it was removed from further analyses. No other locus had significant departures from HW proportions in more than three streams after correcting for multiple significance tests with sequential Bonferroni corrections (Rice 1989). Two of 24 streams deviated from HW proportions with only a single locus out of HW proportions (Table S3). After sequential Bonferroni correction, no populations deviated significantly from HW proportions. Of the 707 tests for linkage disequilibrium, 5.1% were significant (P < 0.05), just slightly more than expected by chance with multiple tests. No pairs of loci were non-randomly associated in more than four of the 24 streams, and no comparisons were significant after Bonferroni correction.

Overall, genetic variation was low (As mean: 2.54, range: 2.11-3.44; H<sub>E</sub> mean: 0.359, range: 0.187-0.508) and in most streams at least one locus was fixed for a particular allele (Table S3). There were no significant correlations between genetic diversity (A<sub>S</sub>, N<sub>A</sub>, H<sub>E</sub>) and either date or stream survey length (P > 0.05). Six  $F_{IS}$ values were significantly different from zero before correcting for multiple tests, none were significant after sequential Bonferroni correction, and no population had more than two loci showing either heterozygote excess or deficit. Pairwise genetic distances  $(F_{ST})$  among streams exhibited a wide range of values, with the lowest divergence occurring between streams within catchments. Overall, divergence among streams tended to be high (median  $F_{ST}$  = 0.39; Table S4). Five pairwise  $F_{ST}$ values were not significantly different from zero and all non-significant tests corresponded to pairs of streams in the same catchment.

The global AMOVA indicated significant structure at all levels (Table 1). Most genetic variation (58.2%) occurred among individuals within streams, and the greatest proportion of structural genetic variation (23.1%) was due to differences among catchments within basins. While there was significant variation due to differences among streams within catchments, this level explained a small proportion of variation in the data (5.6%). The within-Lochsa river basin AMOVA resulted in the same patterns as the global AMOVA. Conversely, the St. Joe-St. Regis river basins AMOVA indicated that variation due to differences among basins was not significant, accounting for only 0.8% of total

genetic variation. However, variation among catchments in the St. Joe-St. Regis complex was highly significant, accounting for 29.5% of total genetic variation (Table 1). Our estimates of N<sub>e</sub> (Table 2) show that the N<sub>e</sub> of streams is variable, with large 95% confidence intervals around these estimates. Confidence intervals around N<sub>e</sub> estimates using the linkage disequilibrium method often include infinity (e.g. Bartley *et al.* 1992; Fraser *et al.* 2007).

Principal components analysis showed concordant patterns of genetic divergence across hierarchical network scales. PC1 accounted for 30% of the variance in allele frequencies and separated catchments into three groups consisting of (i) St. Regis and St. Joe catchments; (ii) Papoose Cr. and Wendover Cr. catchments in the Lochsa; and (iii) Badger Cr. and Squaw Cr. catchments in the Lochsa (Fig. 4). PC2 accounted for an additional 18% of the variation in allele frequencies and PC3 accounted for an additional 14% of the variation. PC2 and PC3 separated catchments in the St. Regis and St. Joe river basins but did not group catchments from basins together.

Individual assignment tests supported patterns of genetic structure shown in AMOVA and PCA. The majority of individuals were assigned to the basin (99.4%) and catchment (98.9%) where they were sampled. However, assignment of individuals to the stream where they were sampled was much lower (67.1%). Individuals most likely to originate from a population other than their sampling origin (n = 119) and those assigned to their sampling origin with P < 0.95 (n = 147) were evaluated with exclusion methods for a measure of

Table 1 Results of hierarchical analysis of molecular variance: (a) Global AMOVA, (b) Within Lochsa AMOVA, (c) St. Joe-St. Regis AMOVA

Source of Variation	df	Variance components	Percentage of variation	F statistics	Р
A					
Among basins	1	0.725	13.0	$F_{\rm BT} = 0.130$	0.0022
Among catchments within basins	2	1.285	23.1	$F_{\rm CB} = 0.266$	< 0.001
Among streams within catchments	5	0.310	5.6	$F_{\rm SC} = 0.087$	< 0.001
Within streams	353	3.236	58.2	$F_{\rm IS} = -0.024$	
Total	361	5.556		$F_{\rm ST}=0.418$	
В					
Among catchments within basins	1	1.117	24.3	$F_{\rm CB} = 0.243$	< 0.001
Among streams within catchments	3	0.335	7.3	$F_{\rm SC} = 0.096$	< 0.001
Within streams	176	3.147	68.4	$F_{\rm IS} = -0.012$	
Total	180	4.599		$F_{\rm ST}=0.316$	
С					
Among basins	1	0.044	0.8	$F_{\rm BT} = 0.009$	0.1685
Among catchments within basins	1	1.533	29.6	$F_{\rm CB} = 0.298$	0.0039
Among streams within catchments	2	0.285	5.5	$F_{\rm SC} = 0.079$	< 0.001
Within streams	177	3.324	64.1	$F_{\rm IS} = -0.035$	
Total	181	5.187		$F_{\rm ST}=0.359$	

Significant P-values are in bold.

Basin	Catchment	Stream	$N_{e}$	95% C	21
St. Regis	Big	RBMC	00	38.4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
0	0	RBU1	163.3	21.4	00
		RBU2	66.7	16.6	~~~~
	Deer	RDTU	8.8	4.8	20.9
		RDU1	16.1	7.3	103.1
		RDUU	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	12.3	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
St. Joe	Gold	JGPR	16.4	9.2	42.4
		JGU1	25.8	10.9	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
		JGU2	11.4	6.3	28.6
	Quartz	JQU1	53.0	19.4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
		JQU3	17.2	7.3	249.0
		JQUE	20.9	9.7	153.3
Lochsa	Badger	LBU1	00	29.1	00
		LBU2	00	38.1	00
		LBU3	29.8	11.7	00
	Papoose	LPEF	37.4	13.8	00
		LPTE	14.9	7.7	48.4
		LPTW	33.6	12.7	00
	Squaw	LSDO	00	30.7	00
		LSSP	11.4	7.0	22.4
		LSU1	17.1	7.6	145.9
	Wendover	LWEF	22.0	7.8	00
		LWU1	688.3	12.9	00
		LWWF	2.3	1.7	3.0

**Table 2** Estimates of effective population size and 95% confidence intervals

confidence associated with assignment (Paetkau *et al.* 2004).

The partial Bayesian exclusion test identified no potential migrants among basins, one potential migrant among catchments in the Lochsa river basin, and five potential migrants among streams within catchments in the Lochsa and St. Regis river basins. Exclusion tests identified 156 individuals that had the highest likelihood of occurring in another stream. Two of those were excluded from all sampled streams (P < 0.01). Six had the highest likelihood of originating in a stream from a neighbouring catchment in the Lochsa river basin (P > 0.90 for two individuals, P > 0.70 for four individuals). The remaining 148 individuals had the highest likelihood of occurring in another stream within their catchment. Although only five were considered potential migrants ( $P \ge 0.95$ ), 67 individuals had a high likelihood of originating from another stream within the catchment (P > 0.7); five of these were terrestrial adults. These individuals may be descendants of immigrants from previous generations. Collectively, individual assignments identified more migrants among streams within catchments than among catchments or among basins.

There was a significant, positive correlation between stream distance and  $F_{ST}$  (IBSD) in the Lochsa river basin

(Mantel; r = 0.63, P < 0.001), in the St. Regis river basin (r = 0.93, P < 0.001), and in the St. Joe river basin (r = 0.83, P < 0.001). There were significant but weaker positive correlations between surface distance and  $F_{ST}$  (IBED) in the Lochsa river basin (r = 0.42, P < 0.001), in the St. Regis river basin (r = 0.80, P < 0.001), and in the St. Joe river basin (r = 0.72, P < 0.01). All Mantel tests were significant after sequential Bonferroni adjustment.

The hierarchical analysis of genetic variation (AMOVA) identified subdivision due to restricted gene flow across catchment boundaries. This pattern suggests that genetic exchange is more frequent within than between catchments, and that if gene flow is limited by geographic distance, isolation by distance should be apparent within catchments but not between catchments of a particular basin. Mantel tests indicate that correlations of  $F_{ST}$  and geographic distance were higher for stream distance than surface distance. Plots of pairwise genetic and geographic distances in basins showed a positive relationship between  $F_{ST}$  and distance among pairs of streams within catchments (Fig. 5). However, no relationship was apparent for pairs of streams that were not in the same catchment. This change in the relationship between  $F_{ST}$  and geographic distance suggests a major shift in the relative influences of gene flow and drift due to hierarchical scale and catchment boundaries. Because of the limited number of streams sampled within catchments, we could not test correlations within individual catchments.

# Discussion

### Evolution in stream networks

Our data show that hierarchical scale is important for microevolution of freshwater organisms in stream networks. Consistent sampling across three hierarchical scales (streams, catchments, basins) provided a framework to test the influence of stream network architecture on genetic structure (Fig. 2). Differences in hierarchical scales at the among-stream, amongcatchment, and among-basin levels all contributed to the genetic structure of D. aterrimus, but structure was clearly dominated by two patterns: isolation and high divergence between adjacent catchments in a basin, and lower divergence among streams within catchments. These data suggest that among-catchment structure is driven by genetic drift, which is consistent with the death valley model of population structure (Fig. 1b; Meffe & Vrijenhoek 1988). They also suggest that within-catchment structure is driven by a different force, which is gene flow among streams, supporting the stream hierarchy model (Fig. 1c; Meffe & Vrijenhoek 1988).



Fig. 4 Plots of the first three principal component scores of allele frequencies of nine microsatellite loci among streams sampled from basins and catchments in Idaho and Montana. Points corresponding to streams within catchments are circled and catchments are labelled. Streams sampled in the Lochsa river basin are circles, streams from the St. Joe river basin are squares, and streams from the St. Regis river basin are triangles.

Divergence among catchments due to genetic drift had a large effect on *D. aterrimus* population structure (global  $F_{CB} = 0.27$ ). There was also evidence for significant divergence among streams (global  $F_{SC} = 0.09$ ), but to a much lower degree than among catchments. While gene flow can explain the moderate divergence among streams, both contemporary and historical patterns influence genetic structure, and distinguishing between current and historical gene flow is difficult (Peakall *et al.* 2003). Two lines of evidence point to contemporary gene flow as the cause of this pattern, including (i) field observations that suggest small population sizes; (ii) small  $N_e$  estimates; and (iii) the identification of potential migrants with individual assignment tests.

Up to 2 h of shock time (10–12 h surveying) was required to collect just 15 individuals from many sites.

Because effective population sizes ( $N_e$ ) are often only 10% of census population sizes ( $N_c$ ) in wildlife populations (Frankham 1995), and estimates of  $N_e$  are generally lower than  $N_c$  for salamanders (Gill 1978; Jehle *et al.* 2005), these survey results suggest  $N_e$  of *D. aterrimus* was small. Our estimates of  $N_e$  using the linkage disequilibrium method (Bartley *et al.* 1992) also provide evidence for small and variable  $N_e$  in streams (Table 2).

Divergence among populations is a function of  $N_e$  and time (*t*) according to the following equation:

$$F_{\rm ST} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(N_{\rm e})}\right)^t$$

Therefore,  $F_{ST}$  increases rapidly over short periods of time when  $N_e$  is small (Wright 1969; Nei & Chakravarti



1977). In the absence of migration, the observed variation among streams in  $N_{\rm e}$  (Table 2) should also increase genetic divergence (Whitlock 1992). In light of our field observations and  $N_{\rm e}$  estimates, it appears likely that migration was important in minimizing divergence among streams within catchments. Individual assignment tests provide further support for contemporary migration among streams, identifying few potential migrants among basins and catchments, but many among streams within catchments.

Levels of genetic divergence of D. aterrimus at the among catchment level are high compared to those seen in terrestrial mammals (e.g. Schwartz et al. 2002; F<sub>ST</sub> 0.00-0.07), some populations of pond-breeding amphibians (e.g. Spear et al. 2005;  $F_{\rm ST}$  0.010–0.479) and stream associated frogs (e.g. Spear & Storfer 2008; FST 0.00-0.38), but are similar to estimates for some freshwater fish (e.g. Whiteley *et al.* 2004;  $F_{ST} = 0.304$ ). Bulltrout (Salvelinus confluentus) have high levels of genetic divergence due to small  $N_{e}$ , habitat fragmentation, and other ecological and life-history related factors (Whiteley et al. 2004). Similarly, the high levels of genetic divergence at among-catchment and among-basin levels in D. aterrimus appear to be driven by genetic drift due to small Ne, and limited dispersal at these larger hierarchical scales.

During the most recent glacial maximum (18 000 ybp), the Cordilleran ice sheet extended into northern Idaho (Richmond *et al.* 1965), forcing organisms into southern refugia that provided climatic insulation (Daubenmire 1975). Carstens *et al.*'s (2005a) coalescent simulations suggest that a single refugial population of *D. aterrimus* subsisted in the south fork of the Salmon River, Idaho during this period. This putative refuge is situated at the southern end of

Fig. 5 Scatter plot of  $F_{\rm ST}$  and stream distance for pairs of streams within the same basin. Pairs in the St. Joe river basin are squares, in the St. Regis river basin are triangles, in the Lochsa river basin are circles. Pairs of streams that are located within the same catchment (solid) are distinguished from those that are not within the same catchment (open).

*D. aterrimus'* current range, suggesting that the population expanded northward as glaciers receded. Northward expansion appears to have left a signature in our data as well: PC1 identified more divergence in allele frequencies among catchments in the Lochsa river basin compared to the St. Joe and the St. Regis river basins (Fig. 4). These results are consistent with Good's model in Slatkin (1993) which predicts that stepwise range expansion from a single refugial population will result in greater genetic divergence among earlier founded populations than among more recently founded populations, regardless of geographic distances among populations.

This pattern of historical range expansion was also apparent in the AMOVA (Table 1). Divergence among basins was significant in the global test (among St. Regis, St. Joe and Lochsa river basins), but not between the St. Regis and St. Joe river basins. Because the Lochsa river basin was likely colonized first, greater genetic divergence has accumulated between the Lochsa river basin and the St. Regis and St. Joe river basins. Conversely, basins separated by minimal distances (i.e. St. Regis and St. Joe), with shorter divergence time, were not structured at the among-basin level. Rather, the structure imposed by differences among catchments in the St. Regis and St. Joe river basins was so strong that the relative effect of basin structure was minimal.

## Pathways of gene flow

Genetic divergence ( $F_{ST}$ ) and in-stream distance were strongly correlated (Fig. 5) among pairs of streams in each basin, consistent with increased likelihood of genetic exchange among nearby populations and divergence among more distant populations due to drift (Wright 1945; Hutchison & Templeton 1999). However, plots of  $F_{ST}$  and in-stream distance show a major shift in the relative influences of gene flow vs. drift that was not due to geographical distance. Instead, this shift occurred because of hierarchical catchment boundaries and scale dependency in patterns of gene flow. Isolation by distance was apparent only among streams within catchments, signifying that gene flow is more important within catchments than between catchments, and that drift overrules gene flow among catchments (Fig. 5).

Studies of other species of Dicamptodon in Washington state suggest that genetic structure is strongly affected by life history (Steele et al. 2009). D. copei has a primarily aquatic life-history (non-metamorphosing) and a pattern of isolation by stream distance (IBSD), whereas D. tenebrosus is a facultative paedomorph (metamorphosing) with no apparent isolation by stream or Euclidean distances among sites separated by a maximum of 20 km. Steele et al. (2009) concluded that overland dispersal by terrestrial D. tenebrosus adults was an important influence on genetic structure. Although D. aterrimus can metamorphose, F<sub>ST</sub> was more strongly correlated with stream distance than with surface distance, suggesting that gene flow occurs primarily along stream corridors. High divergence between adjacent catchments (Table 1) is further evidence of limited overland gene flow, but because the two measures of distance were themselves correlated we cannot rule it out. Consistent with the stream hierarchy model (Meffe & Vrijenhoek 1988), D. aterrimus appears to use catchment mainstreams as corridors for dispersal and potentially as habitat as well, suggesting that it is not an ecologically isolated headwater specialist (Nussbaum & Clothier 1973).

This study highlights the importance of stream network structure in controlling population processes of freshwater organisms. While populations of *D. aterrimus* are structured by dispersal along stream channels at the within-catchment hierarchical scale, the among-catchment scale shows isolation, resulting in high divergence over small geographic scales. Long-term persistence of *D. aterrimus* will depend in part on the maintenance of genetic variation within catchments via dispersal among streams, enabling adaptation in response to shifting environmental conditions. However, our data also suggest that recolonization of catchments would be very slow, making this species especially vulnerable to disturbances that affect entire catchments, such as road networks, wildfires, and environmental impacts of climate change.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the following people whose comments improved this manuscript: Fred Allendorf, Stephen Amish, Blake Hossack,

Mike Machura, Roger Thorpe, Kim Miller and one anonymous reviewer. Marcie Mullen, Kevin Doyle, Alicia Ward and Blake Hossack helped collect samples. Robb Leary and Fred Allendorf graciously allowed us to use their lab space and equipment in the Montana Conservation Genetics Lab. Sally Painter, Angela Lodmell and Stephen Amish provided assistance genotyping. Claudine Tobalske and Ute Langer provided guidance with GIS analysis.

This research was funded by grants from the Society for Northwestern Vertebrate Biology, Charlotte Martin Foundation, the Pacific Rivers Council and the Montana River Center.

#### References

- Bartley D, Bagley M, Gall G, Bentley B (1992) Use of linkage disequilibrium data to estimate effective size of hatchery and natural fish populations. *Conservation Biology*, **6**, 365–375.
- Berry O, Tocher MD, Sarre SD (2004) Can assignment tests measure dispersal? *Molecular Ecology*, **13**, 551–561.
- Carstens BC, Brunsfeld SJ, Demboski JR, Good JM, Sullivan J (2005a) Investigating the evolutionary history of the Pacific Northwest mesic forest ecosystem: hypothesis testing within a comparative phylogeographic framework. *Evolution*, **59**, 1639–1652.
- Carstens BC, Degenhardt JD, Stevenson AL, Sullivan J (2005b) Accounting for coalescent stochasticity in testing phylogeographical hypotheses: modeling Pleistocene population structure in the Idaho giant salamander *Dicamptodon aterrimus. Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 255–265.
- Cornuet J, Piry S, Luikart G, Estoup A, Solignac M (1999) New methods employing multilocus genotypes to select or exclude populations as origins of individuals. *Genetics*, **153**, 1989–2000.
- Curtis JM, Taylor EB (2000) Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci in the Pacific Giant salamander Dicamptodon tenebrosus. Molecular Ecology, 9, 116–118.
- Daubenmire R (1975) Floristic Plant Geography of Eastern Washington and Northern Idaho, Brigham Young University Press, Provo, UT.
- Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S (2005) An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online*, **1**, 47–50.
- Fausch KD, Torgersen CE, Baxter CV, Li HW (2002) Landscapes to riverscapes: bridging the gap between research and conservation of stream fishes. *BioScience*, **52**, 485–498.
- Finn DS, Theobald DM, Black WC, Poff L (2006) Spatial population genetic structure and limited dispersal in a Rocky Mountain alpine stream insect. *Molecular Ecology*, **15**, 3553–3566.
- Finn DS, Blouin MS, Lytle DA (2007) Population genetic structure reveals terrestrial affinities for a headwater stream insect. *Freshwater Biology*, **52**, 1881–1897.
- Frankham R (1995) Conservation Genetics. Annual Review of Genetics, 29, 305–327.
- Fraser DJ, Hansen MM, Ostergaard S *et al.* (2007) Comparative estimation of effective population sizes and temporal gene flow in two contrasting population systems. *Molecular Ecology*, **16**, 3866–3889.
- Funk CW, Blouin MS, Corn PS et al. (2005) Population structure of Columbia spotted frogs (Rana luteiventris) is

strongly affected by the landscape. *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 483–496.

- Gill DE (1978) Effective population size and interdemic migration rates in a metapopulation of the Red-Spotted Newt, *Notophthalmus viridescens* (Rafinesque). *Evolution*, **32**, 839–849.
- Goudet J (1995) FSTAT Version 1.2: a computer program to calculate F-statistics. *Journal of Heredity*, **86**, 485–486.
- Grant EHC, Lowe WH, Fagan WF (2007) Living in the branches: population dynamics and ecological processes in dendritic networks. *Ecology Letters*, **10**, 165–175.
- Grant EHC, Green LE, Lowe WH (2009) Salamander occupancy in headwater stream networks. *Freshwater Biology*, 54, 1370–1378.
- Halley JM, Hartley S, Kallimanis AS *et al.* (2004) Uses and abuses of fractal methodology in ecology. *Ecology Letters*, 7, 254–271.
- Hanski I, Gilpin ME (1997) Metapopulation Biology: Ecology, Genetics, and Evolution, Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Horton RE (1945) Erosional of streams and their drainage basin: hydrophysical approach to quantitative morphology. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, **56**, 255–370.
- Hughes JM, Schmidt DJ, Finn DS (2009) Genes in streams: using DNA to understand the movement of freshwater fauna and their riverine habitat. *BioScience*, **59**, 573–583.
- Hutchison DW, Templeton AR (1999) Correlation of pairwise genetic and geographic distance measures: inferring the relative influences of gene flow and drift on the distribution of genetic variability. *Evolution*, **53**, 1898–1914.
- Jehle R, Wilson GA, Arntzen JW, Burke T (2005) Contemporary gene flow and the spatio-temporal genetic structure of subdivided newt populations (*Triturus cristatus*, *T. marmoratus*). Journal of Evolutionary Biology, **18**, 619–628.
- Keyghobadi N, Roland J, Strobeck C (1999) Influence of landscape on the population genetic structure of the alpine butterfly *Parnassius smintheus* (Papilionidae). *Molecular Ecology*, 8, 1481–1495.
- Leary RF, Allendorf FW, Forbes SH (1993) Conservation genetics of bull trout in the Columbia and Klamath River drainages. *Conservation Biology*, 7, 856–865.
- Levin SA (1992) The problem of pattern and scale in ecology. *Ecology*, **73**, 1943–1967.
- Lowe WH, Likens GE, Power ME (2006) Linking scales in stream ecology. *BioScience*, 56, 591–597.
- MacArthur RH, Wilson EO (1967) *The Theory of Island Biogeography*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Manel S, Schwartz MK, Luikart G, Taberlet P (2003) Landscape genetics: combining landscape ecology and population genetics. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 18, 189–197.
- Mantel N (1967) The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. *Cancer Research*, **27**, 209–220.
- Meffe GK, Vrijenhoek RC (1988) Conservation genetics in the management of desert fishes. Conservation Biology, 2, 157–169.
- Muneepeerakul R, Bertuzzo E, Lynch HJ *et al.* (2008) Neutral metacommunity models predict fish diversity patterns in Mississippi-Missouri basin. *Nature*, **453**, U220–U229.
- Nei M, Chakravarti A (1977) Drift variances of FST and GST statistics obtained from a finite number of isolated populations. *Theoretical Population Biology*, **11**, 307–325.
- Nussbaum RA, Clothier GW (1973) Population structure, growth, and size of larval *Dicamptodon ensatus* (Eschscholtz). *Northwest Science*, 47, 218–227.

- Nussbaum RA, Brodie ED Jr, Storm RM (1983) *Amphibians & Reptiles of the Pacific Northwest*. University of Idaho Press, Moscow, ID, USA.
- Paetkau D, Slade R, Burdens M, Estoup A (2004) Genetic assignment methods for the direct, real-time estimation of migration rate: a simulation-based exploration of accuracy and power. *Molecular Ecology*, **13**, 55–65.
- Peakall R, Ruibal M, Lindenmayer DB (2003) Spatial autocorrelation analysis offers new insights into gene flow in the Australian Bush Rat, *Rattus fuscipes. Evolution*, 57, 1182– 1195.
- Peel D, Ovenden JR, Peel SL (2004) NEESTIMATOR: software for estimating effective population size, Version 1.3. Queensland Government, Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Queensland, Australia.
- Piry S, Alapetite A, Cornuet JM *et al.* (2004) GENECLASS2: A software for genetic assignment and first-generation migrant detection. *Journal of Heredity*, **95**, 536–539.
- Preziosi RF, Fairbairn DJ (1992) Genetic population structure and levels of gene flow in the stream dwelling waterstrider, *Aquarius* (=*Gerris*) remigis (Hemiptera: Gerridae). Evolution, 46, 430–444.
- Rannala B, Mountain JL (1997) Detecting immigration by using multilocus genotypes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 94, 9197–9201.
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity*, **86**, 248–249.
- Reich D, Price AL, Patterson N (2008) Principal component analysis of genetic data. *Nature Genetics*, 40, 491–492.
- Rice WR (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution*, **43**, 223–225.
- Richardson JS, Neill WE (1998) Headwater amphibians and forestry in British Columbia: Pacific giant salamanders and tailed frogs. *Northwest Science*, **72**, 122–123.
- Richmond GM, Fryxell R, Neff GE, Weis PL (1965) The Cordilleran ice sheet of the northern rocky mountains and related quaternary history. In: *The Quaternary of the United States* (eds Wright HJ, Frey D), pp. 231–242. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Schneider DC (2001) The rise of the concept of scale in ecology. *BioScience*, **51**, 545–553.
- Schwartz MK, Mills LS, McKelvey KS, Ruggiero LF, Allendorf FW (2002) DNA reveals high dispersal synchronizing the population dynamics of Canada lynx. *Nature*, 415, 520–522.
- Sepulveda AJ, Lowe WH (2009) Local and landscape-scale influences on the occurrence and density of *Dicamptodon aterrimus*, the Idaho Giant Salamander. *Journal of Herpetology*, **43**, 469–484.
- Slatkin M (1993) Isolation by distance in equilibrium and nonequilibrium populations. *Evolution*, **47**, 264–279.
- Spear SF, Storfer A (2008) Landscape genetic structure of coastal tailed frogs (*Ascaphus truei*) in protected vs. managed forests. *Molecular Ecology*, **17**, 4642–4656.
- Spear SF, Peterson CR, Matocq MD, Storfer A (2005) Landscape genetics of the blotched tiger salamander (*Ambystoma tigrinum melanostictum*). *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 2553–2564.
- Steele CA, Baumsteiger J, Storfer A (2008) Polymorphic tetranucleotide microsatellites for Cope's giant salamander

(*Dicamptodon copei*) and Pacific giant salamander (*Dicamptodon tenebrosus*). *Molecular Ecology*, **8**, 1071–1073.

- Steele C, Baumsteiger J, Storfer A (2009) Influence of lifehistory variation on the genetic structure of two sympatric salamander taxa. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 1629–1639.
- Wang IJ, Savage WK, Shaffer HB (2009) Landscape genetics and least-cost path analysis reveal unexpected dispersal routes in the California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*). *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 1365–1374.
- Whiteley AR, Spruell P, Allendorf FW (2004) Ecological and life history characteristics predict population genetic divergence of two salmonids in the same landscape. *Molecular Ecology*, **13**, 3675–3688.
- Whitlock MC (1992) Nonequilibrium population structure in forked fungus beetles: extinction, colonization and the genetic variance among populations. *The American Naturalist*, 139, 952–970.
- Wishart MJ, Hughes JM (2003) Genetic population structure of the net-winged midge, *Elporia barnardi* (Diptera: Blephariceridae) in streams of the south-western Cape, South Africa: implications for dispersal. *Freshwater Biology*, **48**, 28–38.
- Wright S (1945) Isolation by distance. *Genetics*, **28**, 114–138. Wright S (1951) The genetical structure of natural populations.
- Annals of Eugenics, **15**, 323–354. Wright S (1969) Evolution and the Genetics of Populations,
- Volume 2. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, USA. Yang RC (1998) Estimating hierarchical *F*-statistics. *Evolution*, **52**, 950–956.

L.B.M.'s research focuses on the influence of amphibian life history and stream network structure on patterns of gene flow and population genetic structure. This research was part of her M.S. thesis at The University of Montana. H.A.W. studies the roles of temperature, oxygen, and water in the physiological ecology of ectotherms. M.K.S's research focuses on combining field ecology with conservation and landscape genetics in order to provide practical answers to natural resource problems. A.J.S. studies the importance of dispersal to population viability and community composition in streams. W.H.L. studies the demographic, evolutionary, and ecological effects of dispersal using direct methods.

### Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

**Table S1** *Dicamptodon aterrimus* sampling reaches. Map datum WGS84 was used for GPS coordinates. Fifteen individuals were sampled from throughout the length of each survey reach, with the exception of RBU1 where 16 individuals were sampled. Sampling streams are mapped in Fig. 3

**Table S2** Microsatellite loci used to genotype *Dicamptodon aterrimus* (Curtis & Taylor 2000; Steele *et al.* 2008). Primer sequences are given with fluorescent marker applied to forward primers, including additional base pairs added as "pig tails" where required. Repeat units of microsatellites are listed, N<sub>A</sub> is the number of alleles per locus, length refers to the size range of products, and T<sub>A</sub> is the annealing temperature used for PCR amplification. Temperature ranges are given for touchdown profiles used to amplify multiplexes or single PCRs

**Table S3** Genetic diversity of each stream where  $A_S$  is allelic richness,  $N_A$  is the total number of alleles observed in the stream,  $H_O$  is observed heterozygosity,  $H_E$  is expected heterozygosity, and  $F_{IS}$  is provided for all 9 loci when polymorphic.  $F_{IS}$  values that are significantly different from zero are in bold, as well as the two streams with significant departures from Hardy-Weinberg (HW) proportions. After correcting for multiple tests, however, none of the  $F_{IS}$  values were significantly different from zero, and no populations had significant deviations from HW proportions. Fifteen individuals were genotyped in each stream with the exception of RBU1 with 16 individuals

**Table S4** Pairwise  $F_{ST}$  among all streams. Values that are not significantly different from zero are in bold. Pairs of streams within the same catchment are highlighted in grey. Significance testing of  $F_{ST}$  was based on 10,000 permutations

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.